

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

DESSIE MARIA ANDREWS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALMA S. ADAMS, et al.,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

1:23-CV-95-DII

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Dustin M. Howell concerning Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) (R. & R., Dkt. 16). Plaintiff filed objections to the report and recommendation. (Objs., Dkt. 18).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Because Plaintiff objected to the report and recommendation, the Court reviews the report and recommendation *de novo*. Having done so and for the reasons given in the report and recommendation, the Court overrules Plaintiff's objections and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Dustin M. Howell, (Dkt. 16), is **ADOPTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 10) is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

The Court will enter its final judgment in a separate order.

**SIGNED** on October 20, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "R. Pitman", is written above a horizontal line.

ROBERT PITMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE